arXiv:1609.02058v1 [math.CV] 7 Sep 2016

On non-optimal spectral factorizations

L. Ephremidze, I. Selesnick, and I. Spitkovsky

Abstract. For a given Laurent polynomial matrix function S, which is positive definite on the unit circle in the complex plane, we consider all possible polynomial spectral factors of S which are not necessarily invertible inside the unit circle.

1. Introduction

Spectral factorization is the process by which a positive matrix-valued function S, on the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$, is expressed in the form

$$S(e^{i\theta}) = S_+(e^{i\theta})S_+^*(e^{i\theta}), \tag{1}$$

for a certain analytic matrix-valued function S_+. Such factorization plays a crucial role in the solution of various applied problems in system theory [9] and wavelet analysis [4]. In the polynomial case, which is most widespread situation in practise, the matrix spectral factorization theorem is formulated as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let*

$$S(z) = \sum_{n=-N}^{N} C_n z^n \tag{2}$$

be an $m \times m$ Laurent polynomial matrix function ($C_n \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$ are matrix coefficients) of degree N ($C_N \neq 0$) which is positive definite almost everywhere on $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Then it admits a factorization

$$S(z) = S_+(z)\widetilde{S_+}(z), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}, \tag{3}$$

where

$$S_+(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n z^n, \quad A_n \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m} \tag{4}$$

is nonsingular inside $\mathbb{T}$, $\det S_+(z) \neq 0$ when $|z| < 1$, and

$$\widetilde{S_+}(z) = \overline{S_+\,(1/\overline{z})}^{\,T} = \sum_{n=0}^{N} A_n^* z^{-n}$$

is its adjoint, $A_n^* = \overline{A}_n{}^T$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N$, (respectively, $\widetilde{S_+}$ is analytic and nonsingular outside $\mathbb{T}$ including infinity).

S_+ is unique up to a constant right unitary multiplier U.

In the scalar case, $m = 1$, the above result is known as the Fejér-Riesz lemma and can be easily proved by considering the zeroes of $S(z)$.

The matrix spectral factorization (1) was first established by Wiener [12] in a general case for any integrable matrix-valued function S with an integrable logarithm of the determinant, $\log\det S \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$. In this case, the spectral factor S_+ is an outer analytic matrix function (see, e.g., [8]) with entries from the Hardy space H_2. Wiener proved this theorem by using a linear prediction theory of multi-dimensional stochastic processes. A little bit later, by using the same methods, Rosenblatt [10] showed that S_+ is a polynomial whenever S is a Laurent polynomial. Youla [13] provided the first constructive proof of the theorem. Since then, many different simplified proofs of Theorem 1 have appeared in the literature (see [5], [3], [2], [7]). A very simple proof, which relies on elementary complex analysis and linear algebra, appeared in [6].

A requirement on the spectral factor (4) to be nonsingular, i.e. invertible, inside $\mathbb{T}$ is essential for most applications. Such factorization is called *optimal* (or sometimes of *minimum-phase* especially in the scalar case, $m = 1$), because the modulus of the determinant achieves maximal possible value in that case (see, e.g., [11, Th. 17.17]). The uniqueness of an optimal spectral factor S_+ is valid in a more strong sense then is explicitly formulated in Theorem 1. Namely, if $R_+(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n z^n$, $B_n \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$, is any analytic matrix function with entries from the Hardy space H_p, for some $p > 0$, such that $R(e^{i\theta}) = R_+(e^{i\theta})R_+^*(e^{i\theta})$ for a. a. $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, then there exist a constant unitary matrix U, such that $S_+(z) = R_+(z) \cdot U$ (see, e.g., [7] for a simple proof), i.e. we do not have to require a priori that R_+ is a polynomial of the same degree N as S_+, but get it as a consequence.

If we multiply S_+ in (1) by a bounded analytic matrix function $\mathcal{U}$ which is unitary a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$, then the product

$$R_+ = S_+\mathcal{U} \tag{5}$$

still will be, in general, a non-optimal spectral factor of S, however, it might not be a polynomial, or even a rational matrix function. On the other hand, every non-optimal spectral factor of (2) with entries from the Hardy space H_p, for some $p > 0$, can be written in the form (5) (it can be proved exactly in the same manner as the above uniqueness statement, see [7]). Youla was the first who mentioned that non-optimal spectral factorizations can also be applied in some network synthesis problems (see [13, p. 182]).

In the theory of wavelets, non-optimal factorizations also play a role in the construction of suitable bases. The construction of the well-known compactly-supported orthonormal Daubechies' wavelet bases for $L_2(R)$ requires the solution to a polynomial spectral factorization problem (see [4]). The non-uniqueness of the solution leads to distinct wavelet bases, with some bases being more favorable than other bases. In particular, wavelet bases wherein the basis functions exhibit the least degree of asymmetry (i.e., those that are more nearly symmetric) are generally considered more favorable for signal processing applications such as noise reduction and deconvolution. Highly non-symmetric wavelet bases can lead to more noticeable signal distortion in these applications. Of relevance here is the fact that wavelet bases for which the basis functions are approximately symmetric are generated by a polynomial spectral factorization where the factor has approximately the same number of roots inside and outside the unit circle, i.e., a non-optimal spectral factorization. This type of factorization is sometimes known as a "mid-phase" factorization (in contrast to a 'minimum-phase' factorization). The construction of Daubechies wavelet bases has been extended and generalized in several directions, some of which require the solution to a matrix spectral factorization problem rather than a polynomial one. Such generalization include multiwavelet bases which consist of several distinct wavelet functions, and non-dyadic wavelet bases with rational dilation factors between 1 and 2 (see [1]). As in the original Daubechies construction, the shape of the constructed basis functions depend substantially on the particular spectral factorization, as different spectral factorizations yield more or less favorable properties of the basis functions. In addition to the approximate symmetry of the basis functions, the time-localization or time-bandwidth product is also affected by the spectral factorization. In particular, the most favorable basis will generally be obtained via a 'non-optimal' matrix spectral factorization.

In the present paper we are going to explicitly characterize all polynomial non-optimal spectral factors of (2).

In the scalar case, it follows from the standard proof of Fejér-Riesz lemma that if S has $2N$ distinct roots (non of them can appear on $\mathbb{T}$ then, as every root on $\mathbb{T}$ is of even multiplicity), then there exist totally 2^N different spectral factors, only one of them being optimal (Obviously we assume that two spectral factors are same if they differ only by a scalar multiplier with modulus 1). It is clear also how to deal with multiple roots, just combinatorial problem might become cumbersome.

In the matrix case, the factorization (1) implies that we can factorize the determinant

$$\det S(z) = \det S_+(z)\ \det \widetilde{S_+}(z),$$

and the question arise if the above reasoning about the Fejér-Riesz lemma will be helpful to "organize" all non-optimal polynomial spectral factors of (2). On this direction we prove the following

Theorem 2. *Let S be a Laurent polynomial matrix function* (2) *which is positive definite for almost everywhere on $\mathbb{T}$, and let p be any* (*may be non-optimal*) *scalar spectral factor of* $\det S$*, i.e.*

$$p(z)\tilde{p}(z) = \det S(z). \tag{6}$$

Then there exits a polynomial matrix function P of the same degree N,

$$P(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} B_n z^n, \tag{7}$$

which is a (*non-optimal*) *spectral factor of $S(z)$,*

$$P(z)\tilde{P}(z) = S(z), \tag{8}$$

such that

$$\det P(z) = p(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \tag{9}$$

Theorem 2 easily follows from the proof of Theorem 1 presented in [6], and we provide details in Section 2.

Now the question arises whether this factorization with the preassigned determinant is unique (up to a constant right unitary multiplier). A simple example in Section 3 shows that the uniqueness might fail if $\det S$ has multiple roots. Nevertheless, the following uniqueness theorem holds in the case of simple roots of the determinant.

Theorem 3. *Let S and p be the same as in Theorem 2, and suppose* $\det S$ *has only simple roots. Then there exists a unique* (*up to a constant unitary right multiplier*) *polynomial matrix* (7) *such that* (8) *and* (9) *hold.*

Theorem 3 together with the standard proof of the Fejér-Riesz lemma implies

Corollary 1. *Let S be the same as in Theorem 3 and suppose* $\det S$ *is a Laurent polynomial of degree L. Then S has exactly 2^L spectral factors.*

Of course, in the above statement, the spectral factors which differ from each other by a constant unitary multiplier are identified.

When this manuscript was completed, the authors learned from J. Ball [private communication] that the problem of non-optimal factorization with given determinant was also considered by C. Hanselka and M. Schweighofer. J. Ball and L. Rodman, in their turn, proposed different approaches to this problem.

2. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $S_+(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} A_k z^k$ be an optimal spectral factor of S,

$$S_+(z)\widetilde{S_+}(z) = S(z),$$

which existence is guaranteed by Theorem 1, and let

$$\det S_+(z) = p_+(z).$$

Since $\det S(z) = p(z)\tilde{p}(z) = p_+(z)\widetilde{p_+}(z)$, if a is a zero of p, then either it is a zero of p_+ as well or $a^* = 1/\overline{a}$ is a zero of p_+. In the former case we consider other zeros of p. In the latter case we transform polynomial matrix S_+ as follows:

Let V be a constant unitary matrix such that the first column of $S_+(z)V$ vanishes at $z = a^*$. If we consider now $P_1(z) = S_+(z)V \cdot U(z)$, where

$$U(z) = \mathrm{diag}[u(z), 1, \dots, 1] \ \text{ with } \ u(z) = (z-a)/(1-\overline{a}z), \tag{10}$$

then P_1 remains polynomial (of the same degree N) matrix spectral factor of (2) and

$$\det P_1(z) = p_+(z) \cdot u(z).$$

In a similar manner we can change (if necessary) location of every zero of p_+ (moving them into symmetric with respect to $\mathbb{T}$ points) and in the end we get the desired spectral factor P:

$$P(z) = S_+(z)V_1\, U_1(z)\, V_2\, U_2(z) \dots V_k\, U_k(z)$$

satisfying (9).

3. Non uniqueness example for non optimal spectral factorizations

Let $|a| < 1$, and consider

$$p(z) = (z-a)(z^{-1} - \overline{a}) = (1-\overline{a}z)(1-az^{-1}).$$

Let

$$P_+(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z-a & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\overline{a}z \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad R_+(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1-\overline{a}z & 0 \\ 0 & z-a \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$P_+\widetilde{P_+} = R_+\widetilde{R_+} = \begin{pmatrix} p(z) & 0 \\ 0 & p(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\det P_+(z) = \det R_+(z) = p(z).$$

However

$$R_+^{-1}(z)P_+(z) = \begin{pmatrix} u(z) & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1}(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

with u defined by (10), is not a constant matrix.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

First we prove two lemmas from Linear Algebra.

Lemma 1. *If P is an $m \times m$ polynomial matrix (7), and a is a simple root of* $\det P$, *then*

$$\mathrm{rank} P(a) = m - 1. \tag{11}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the Smith decomposition of P. Indeed

$$P(z) = L(z)D(z)F(z),$$

where $\det L(z) = \text{Const}$, $\det F(z) = \text{Const}$, and $D(z) = \text{diag}[d_1(z), \dots, d_n(z)]$ with $d_i | d_{i+1}$ (d_i divides d_{i+1}), $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. If a is a simple root of $\det P$, it means that $d_i(a) \neq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ and $d_n(a) = 0$ (since $d_i | d_{i+1}$). Hence $\text{rank} D(a) = m-1$ and (11) follows. □

Let $\mathcal{U}(m)$ be the set of unitary $m \times m$ matrices with determinant 1.

For $M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ let $\mathcal{N}(M)$ and $\mathcal{R}(M)$ be the nullspace and the column space of M, respectively.

Lemma 2. *Let $M \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ and $\text{rank}(M) = m-1$. Suppose $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{U}(m)$ and the first columns of MV_1 and MV_2 are both $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times 1}$. Then*

$$V_1 = V_2 V, \tag{12}$$

where V has a block matrix form

$$V = \text{diag}(c, V_0) \quad \textit{with} \quad |c| = 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad V_0 \in \mathcal{U}(m-1). \tag{13}$$

Proof. Obviously (12) holds for some $V \in \mathcal{U}(m)$. We only have to show that V has the specific form (13).

Let v_1 and v_2 be the first columns of V_1 and V_2, respectively. Since $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ and $\dim \mathcal{N}(M) = 1$, we have

$$v_1 = c v_2 \tag{14}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\|v_1\| = \|v_2\| = 1$, we have $|c| = 1$.

Since the columns of V_2 are independent, and their linear combination with coefficients from the first column of V yields v_1, we can conclude, due to (14), that $(c, 0, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times 1}$ is the first column of V. Since other columns of V are orthogonal to $(c, 0, 0, \dots, 0)^T$, we infer that the first row of V is $(c, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times m}$. Thus V has the desired block form, and obviously $V_0 \in \mathcal{U}(m-1)$ since $V \in \mathcal{U}(m)$. □

We prove Theorem 3 by induction with respect to $\kappa = \text{card}\{|z| < 1 : p(z) = 0\}$.

If $\kappa = 0$, then Theorem 3 is reduced to Theorem 1 and therefore true. Let us assume that the theorem is true for every p with κ (simple) roots inside $\mathbb{T}$, and let us prove it for p having $\kappa + 1$ roots inside $\mathbb{T}$.

Let P and P_1 be two (non-optimal) spectral factors of S for which $\det P(z) = \det P_1(z) = p(z)$ holds, where the latter has $\kappa + 1$ simple roots inside $\mathbb{T}$. Take a inside $\mathbb{T}$ such that $p(a) = 0$. The functions p and $\tilde{p}$ have symmetric roots with respect to $\mathbb{T}$, i.e., $p(a^*) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{p}(a) = 0$, where $a^* = 1/\overline{a}$. Since $\det S$ has simple roots, it follows from (6) that $p(a^*) \neq 0$. Consequently, the polynomial $q(z) = p(z)u^{-1}(z)$, where u is defined by (10), has κ (simple) roots inside $\mathbb{T}$, having also $z = a^*$ as a simple root. Let S_0 be a spectral factor of S with determinant q (its existence is proved in Theorem 2). Observe that

$$\text{rank} S_0(a^*) = m - 1 \tag{15}$$

because of Lemma 1.

There exists $V \in \mathcal{U}(m)$ such that the first column of $P(a)V$ is $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times 1}$. Then

$$P(z) V U^{-1}(z),$$

with U defined by (10), is a matrix polynomial spectral factor of S with determinant $q(z) = p(z)u^{-1}(z)$ which has κ roots inside $\mathbb{T}$. By assumption of induction,

$$P(z) \cdot V \cdot U^{-1}(z) \cdot W = S_0(z)$$

for some $W \in \mathcal{U}(m)$. Similarly,

$$P_1(z) \cdot V_1 \cdot U^{-1}(z) \cdot W_1 = S_0(z).$$

Thus we have

$$P(z)V = S_0(z)W^{-1}U(z) \tag{16}$$

and

$$P_1(z)V_1 = S_0(z)W_1^{-1}U(z). \tag{17}$$

Since the left-hand side of the equation (16) is a polynomial matrix and $U(z)$ has a pole at $z = a^*$, it follows that the first column of $S_0(a^*)W^{-1}$ is $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times 1}$. Similar arguments used for (17) imply that $S_0(a^*)W_1^{-1}$ has the first column $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times 1}$. Hence we can use Lemma 2 to conclude that

$$W^{-1} = W_1^{-1}\,\mathrm{diag}(c, W_0) \tag{18}$$

with $W_0 \in \mathcal{U}(m-1)$. Using the diagonal structure of $\mathrm{diag}(c, W_0)$, we see that it commutes with $U(z)$. Consequently, taking into account (16), (18) and (17), we have $P(z)V = S_0(z)W^{-1}U(z) = S_0(z)W_1^{-1}U(z)\,\mathrm{diag}(c, W_0) = P_1(z)V_1\,\mathrm{diag}(c, W_0) = P_1(z)V_0$, where $V_0 \in \mathcal{U}(m)$, which implies that P and P_1 differ only by a constant unitary multiplier and Theorem 3 follows.

References

[1] İ. Bayram and I. W. Selesnick, *Overcomplete discrete wavelet transforms with rational dilation factors*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **57** (2009), no. 1, 131–145. MR 2674791

[2] P. E. Caines and László Gerencsér, *A simple proof for a spectral factorization theorem*, IMA J. Math. Control Inform. **8** (1991), no. 1, 39–44. MR 1109605

[3] F. M. Callier, *On polynomial matrix spectral factorization by symmetric extraction*, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control **30** (1985), no. 5, 453–464. MR 789691

[4] I. Daubechies, *Ten lectures on wavelets*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, vol. 61, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1992. MR 1162107 (93e:42045)

[5] P. Delsarte, Y. Genin, and Y. Kamp, *A simple approach to spectral factorization*, IEEE Trans. Circuits and Systems **25** (1978), no. 11, 943–946. MR 508983

[6] L. Ephremidze, *An elementary proof of the polynomial matrix spectral factorization theorem*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **144** (2014), no. 4, 747–751. MR 3233753

[7] L. Ephremidze, G. Janashia, and E. Lagvilava, *A simple proof of the matrix-valued Fejér-Riesz theorem*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **15** (2009), no. 1, 124–127. MR 2491029 (2010c:47050)

[8] L. Ephremidze and E. Lagvilava, *Remark on outer analytic matrix-functions*, Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst. **152** (2010), 29–32. MR 2663529

[9] T. Kailath, B. Hassibi, and A. H. Sayed, *Linear estimation*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1999, Prentice-Hall Information and System Sciences Series.

[10] M. Rosenblatt, *A multi-dimensional prediction problem*, Ark. Mat. **3** (1958), 407–424. MR 0092332

[11] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, third ed., McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987. MR 924157

[12] N. Wiener and P. Masani, *The prediction theory of multivariate stochastic processes. I. The regularity condition*, Acta Math. **98** (1957), 111–150. MR 0097856 (20 #4323)

[13] D. C. Youla, *On the factorization of rational matrices*, IRE Trans. **IT-7** (1961), 172–189. MR 0132753